## On a dynamic contact problem for a geometrically nonlinear viscoelastic shell

I. Bock and J. Jarušek \*

Abstract: We deal with an initial-boundary value problem describing the perpendicular vibrations of a viscoelastic Kármán-Donnell shell with a rigid inner obstacle. A weak formulation of a problem is in a form of a hyperbolic variational inequality. We solve this problem using the penalization method

## 1 INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

Contact problems represent an important but complex topic of applied mathematics. For elastic problems there is only a very limited amount of results available (cf. ?] ? and there cited literature). Viscosity makes possible to prove the existence of solutions for a broader set of problems for membranes, bodies as well as for linear models of plates. The von Kármán plate made of a short memory material in a dynamic contact was studied in [?]. The aim of the present paper is to extend these results to the nonlinear von Kármán-Donnell shells. The presented results also extend the research made for the quasistatic contact problems for viscoelastic shells (cf. [?]).

The existence of solutions is proved for an approximate penalized problem at first. The limit process to the original problem is enabled by an  $L_1$  estimate of the penalty term and by the use of the compact imbedding theorem and by a proper use of the interpolation technique.

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded convex polygonal or  $\mathbb{C}^2$  domain with a boundary  $\Gamma$  and  $I \equiv (0,T)$ be a bounded time interval. The unit outer normal vector is denoted by  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2), \tau =$  $(-n_2, n_1)$  is the unit tangent vector. The displacement is denoted by  $\mathbf{u} \equiv (u_i)$ . Further employed notations are  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \equiv \partial_s$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial r} \equiv \partial_{sr}$ ,  $\partial_i = \partial_{x_i}$ , i = 1, 2, 3,  $\dot{v} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ ,  $\ddot{v} = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2}$ ,  $Q = I \times \Omega$ ,  $S = I \times \Gamma$ .

A shallow isotropic shell is occupying the domain

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ (x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega, |z - \mathcal{S}(x)| < h \},\$$

where  $z = \mathcal{S}(x), x \in \Omega$  is a middle surface of a shell.

Strain tensor is defined as

$$\varepsilon_{ij}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i + \partial_i u_3 \partial_j u_3) - k_{ij} u_3 - x_3 \partial_{ij} u_3, \ i, j = 1, 2;$$
  
$$\varepsilon_{i3} \equiv 0, \ i = 1, 2, 3$$

with  $k_{12} = 0$  and the curvatures  $k_{ii} > 0$ , i = 1, 2.

Further, we denote

$$[u,v] \equiv \partial_{11}u\partial_{22}v + \partial_{22}u\partial_{11}v - 2\partial_{12}u\partial_{12}v.$$

In the sequel, we denote by  $W_p^k(M), k \ge 0, p \in [1,\infty]$  the Sobolev (for a noninteger p the Sobolev-Slobodetskii) spaces defined on a domain or an appropriate manifold M. By  $\check{W}^k_p(M)$ 

<sup>\*</sup>The work presented here is supported by the Ministry of Education of Slovak Republic under VEGA grant 1/0021/10 and by the Czech Academy of Sciences under grant IAA 100750802 and under the Institutional research plan AVOZ 10190503, by the Czech Ministry of Education under grant MEB 0810045.

the spaces with zero traces are denoted. If p = 2 we use the notation  $H^k(M)$ ,  $\mathring{H}^k(M)$ . The duals to  $\mathring{H}^k(M)$  are denoted by  $H^{-k}(M)$ . For the anisotropic spaces  $W_p^k(M)$ ,  $k = (k_1, k_2) \in R_+^2$ ,  $R_+ = (0, \infty)$ ,  $k_1$  is related with the time while  $k_2$  with the space variables (with the obvious consequences for p = 2). By C we denote the space of continuous functions with the appropriate sup-norm. By  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}$  we denote the spaces  $L_{\infty}(I; H^2(\Omega))$ ,  $L_{\infty}(I; \mathring{H}^2(\Omega))$ , respectively. The following generalization of the Aubin's compactness lemma verified in [?] Theorem 3.1 will be essentially used:

**Lemma 1.1** Let  $B_0 \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow B_1$  be Banach spaces, the first reflexive and separable. Let 1 . Then

$$W \equiv \{v; v \in L_p(I; B_0), \dot{v} \in L_q(I, B_1)\} \hookrightarrow L_p(I; B).$$

## 2 CONTACT OF A VISCOELASTIC SHELL WITH A SHORT MEMORY

The constitutional law has the form

$$\sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{E_1}{1-\mu^2} \partial_t \left( (1-\mu)\varepsilon_{ij}(\mathbf{u}) + \mu \delta_{ij}\varepsilon_{\mathbf{kk}}(\mathbf{u}) \right) \\ + \frac{E_0}{1-\mu^2} \left( (1-\mu)\varepsilon_{ij}(\mathbf{u}) + \mu \delta_{ij}\varepsilon_{\mathbf{kk}}(\mathbf{u}) \right).$$

The constants  $E_0$ ,  $E_1 > 0$  are the Young modulus of elasticity and the modulus of viscosity, respectively,  $\mu \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  is the Poisson ratio. We involve also the rotation inertia expressed by the term  $a\Delta \ddot{u}$  in the first equation of the considered system with  $a = \frac{h^2}{12}$ . It will play the crucial role in the deriving a strong convergence of the sequence of velocities  $\{\dot{u}_m\}$  in the appropriate space. Further we denote  $b = \frac{h^2}{12\rho(1-\mu^2)}$  the material constant with  $\rho > 0$  the density of the material. We concentrate for simplicity on the case of a free plate.

The classical formulation generalizes the elastic case derived in [?] and is composed of the system

$$\ddot{u} + a\Delta\ddot{u} + b(E_{1}\Delta^{2}\dot{u} + E_{0}\Delta^{2}u) - [u, v] - k_{11}\partial_{22}v - k_{22}\partial_{11}v = f + g, u \ge 0, \ g \ge 0, \ ug = 0, \Delta^{2}v + E_{1}\partial_{t}(\frac{1}{2}[u, u] + k_{11}\partial_{22}u + k_{22}\partial_{11}u) + E_{0}(\frac{1}{2}[u, u] + k_{11}\partial_{22}u + k_{22}\partial_{11}u) = 0$$
 on  $Q$ , (1)

the boundary conditions

$$u \ge 0, \ \Sigma_1(u) \ge 0, \ u\Sigma_1(u) = 0, \mathcal{M}_1(u) = 0, \ v = 0 \text{ and } \partial_n v = 0 \text{ on } S, \mathcal{M}_1(u) = b[E_1 M(\dot{u}) + E_0(u)], \Sigma_1(u) = b[E_1 V(\dot{u}) + E_0 V(u)] - a\ddot{u}$$
(2)

and the initial conditions

$$u(0, \cdot) = u_0 \ge 0, \ \dot{u}(0, \cdot) = u_1 \text{ on } \Omega.$$
 (3)

We introduce cone  $\mathcal{K} := \{ y \in H^{1,2}(Q); \ \dot{y} \in L_2(I; H^1(\Omega)), \ y \ge 0 \}$  and bilinear form

$$A(u,y) = \partial_{11}u\partial_{11}y + \partial_{22}u\partial_{22}y + \mu\partial_{11}u\partial_{22}y + \partial_{22}u\partial_{11}y + 2(1-\mu)\partial_{12}u\partial_{12}y.$$

Then the variational formulation of the problem (??-??) has the following form:

Find  $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{K} \times L_2(I; \mathring{H}^2(\Omega))$  such that  $\dot{u} \in L_2(I; H^2(\Omega))$  and the following system

$$\int_{Q} (E_{1}A(\dot{u}, y_{1} - u) + E_{0}A(u, y_{1} - u) - ([u, v] + k_{11}\partial_{22}v + k_{22}\partial_{11}v)(y_{1} - u)) dx dt 
- \int_{Q} (a\nabla\dot{u} \cdot \nabla(\dot{y}_{1} - \dot{u}) + \dot{u}(\dot{y}_{1} - \dot{u})) dx dt 
+ \int_{\Omega} (a\nabla\dot{u} \cdot \nabla(y_{1} - u) + \dot{u}(y_{1} - u)) (T, \cdot) dx$$

$$(4)$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} (a\nabla u_{1} \cdot \nabla(y_{1}(0, \cdot) - u_{0}) + u_{1}(y_{1}(0, \cdot) - u_{0})) dx + \int_{Q} f(y_{1} - u) dx dt, 
\int_{\Omega} \Delta v \Delta y_{2} dx = (5) 
- \int_{\Omega} \left( E_{1}\partial_{t}(\frac{1}{2}[u, u] + k_{11}\partial_{22}u + k_{22}\partial_{11}u) + E_{0}(\frac{1}{2}[u, u] + k_{11}\partial_{22}u + k_{22}\partial_{11}u) \right) y_{2} dx$$

is satisfied for all  $(y_1, y_2) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathring{H}^2(\Omega)$ .

We define the bilinear operator  $\Phi: H^2(\Omega)^2 \to \mathring{H}^2(\Omega)$  and the linear operators  $\Delta_k: H^2(\Omega) \mapsto L_2(\Omega), \ L: H^2(\Omega) \to \mathring{H}^2(\Omega)$  by means of the variational equations and the identity

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta \Phi(u, v) \Delta \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} [u, v] \varphi \, dx \,\,\forall \varphi \in \mathring{H}^2(\Omega), \tag{6}$$

$$\Delta_k v = k_{11} \partial_{22} v + k_{22} \partial_{11} v \ \forall v \in H^2(\Omega), \tag{7}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta L u \Delta \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \Delta_k u \varphi \, dx \,\,\forall \varphi \in \mathring{H}^2(\Omega).$$
(8)

The equation (??) has a unique solution, because  $[u, v] \in L_1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H^2(\Omega)^*$ . The well-defined operator  $\Phi$  is evidently compact and symmetric. The domain  $\Omega$  fulfils the assumptions enabling us to apply Lemma 1 from [?] due to which  $\Phi : H^2(\Omega)^2 \to W_p^2(\Omega), 2 and$ 

$$\|\Phi(u,v)\|_{W^2_p(\Omega)} \le c \|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{W^1_p(\Omega)} \ \forall u \in H^2(\Omega), \ v \in W^1_p(\Omega).$$

$$\tag{9}$$

The right-hand side of the equation  $(\ref{eq:second} P)$  represents the linear bounded functional over  $\mathring{H}^2(\Omega)$ and hence the operator  $L: H^2(\Omega) \mapsto \mathring{H}^2(\Omega)$  is uniquely defined. Moreover it is compact due to the compact imbedding  $H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H^2(\Omega)$ . Further it fulfils  $L: H^2(\Omega) \mapsto W^2_p(\Omega), 2$ and

$$\|Lu\|_{W^2_{\sigma}(\Omega)} \le c \|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in H^2(\Omega).$$

$$\tag{10}$$

The Airy stress function v can be expressed in the form

$$v = -E_1 \partial_t (\frac{1}{2} \Phi(u, u) + Lu) - E_0 (\frac{1}{2} \Phi(u, u) + Lu)$$

and we reformulate the system  $(\ref{eq:relation})$ ,  $(\ref{eq:relation})$  into the following variational inequality: **Problem**  $\mathcal{P}$ . Find  $u \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $\dot{u} \in L_2(I; H^2(\Omega))$  and the inequality

$$\int_{Q} \left( E_{1}A(\dot{u}, y - u) + E_{0}A(u, y - u) \right) dx dt 
+ \int_{Q} \left[ u, E_{1}\partial_{t}(\frac{1}{2}\Phi(u, u) + Lu) + E_{0}(\frac{1}{2}\Phi(u, u) + Lu) \right](y - u) dx dt 
+ \int_{Q} \Delta_{k} \left( E_{1}\partial_{t}(\frac{1}{2}\Phi(u, u) + Lu) + E_{0}(\frac{1}{2}\Phi(u, u) + Lu) \right) (y - u) dx dt 
- \int_{Q} \left( a\nabla \dot{u} \cdot \nabla(\dot{y} - \dot{u}) + \dot{u}(\dot{y} - \dot{u}) \right) dx dt + \int_{\Omega} \left( a\nabla \dot{u} \cdot \nabla(y - u) + \dot{u}(y - u) \right) (T, \cdot) dx 
\geq \int_{\Omega} \left( a\nabla u_{1} \cdot \nabla(y(0, \cdot) - u_{0}) + u_{1}(y(0, \cdot) - u_{0}) \right) dx + \int_{Q} f(y_{1} - u) dx dt$$
(11)

is satisfied for any  $y \in \mathcal{K}$ .

For any  $\eta > 0$  we define the *penalized problem*  **Problem**  $\mathcal{P}_{\eta}$ . Find  $u \in H^{1,2}(Q)$  such that  $\dot{u} \in L_2(I; H^2(\Omega)), \ \ddot{u} \in L_2(I; H^1(\Omega)),$ the equation

$$\int_{Q} \left( \ddot{u}z + a\nabla \ddot{u} \cdot \nabla z + E_{1}A(\dot{u}, z) + E_{0}A(u, z) \right) dx dt 
+ \int_{Q} \left[ u, E_{1}\partial_{t}(\frac{1}{2}\Phi(u, u) + Lu) + E_{0}(\frac{1}{2}\Phi(u, u) + Lu) \right] z dx dt 
+ \int_{Q} \Delta_{k} \left( E_{1}\partial_{t}(\frac{1}{2}\Phi(u, u) + Lu) + E_{0}(\frac{1}{2}\Phi(u, u) + Lu) \right) z dx dt 
= \int_{Q} (f + \eta^{-1}u^{-}) z dx dt,$$
(12)

holds for any  $z \in L_2(I; H^2(\Omega))$  and the conditions (??) remain valid.

Applying the Galerkin method, we obtain in a similar way as in [?] the existence and uniqueness of a solution to the penalized problem with the *a priori* estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{u}\|_{L_{2}(I;H^{2}(\Omega))}^{2} + \|\dot{u}\|_{L_{\infty}(I;H^{1}(\Omega))}^{2} + \|u\|_{L_{\infty}(I;H^{2}(\Omega))}^{2} \\ + \|\partial_{t}\Phi(u,u)\|_{L_{2}(I;H^{2}(\Omega))}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}Lu\|_{L_{2}(I;H^{2}(\Omega))}^{2} \le c \equiv c(f,u_{0},u_{1}). \end{aligned}$$
(13)

Moreover the estimates (??), (??) imply

$$\|\partial_t \Phi(u, u)\|_{L_2(I; W^2_p(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t L u\|_{L_2(I; W^2_p(\Omega))} \le c_p \equiv c_p(f, u_0, u_1) \ \forall \, p > 2.$$
(14)

The estimates are obviously  $\eta$  independent. Since for a fixed  $\eta > 0$  the penalty term  $\eta^{-1}u^{-}$  belongs to  $H^1(Q)$ , this together with (??) and (??) yields an estimate of  $\ddot{u} - a\Delta\ddot{u}$  in  $L_2(I; H^2(\Omega)^*)$ . Applying the *a priori* estimates of solutions to the penalized problem we obtain

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $f \in L_2(Q)$ ,  $u_i \in H^2(\Omega)$ , i = 0, 1. Then there exists a solution  $u \in H^{1,2}(Q)$  of the contact Problem  $\mathcal{P}$ .

*Proof.* We perform the limit process  $\eta \searrow 0$  and write  $u_{\eta}$  for the solution of the problem  $\mathcal{P}_{\eta}$ . To get the crucial estimate for the penalty, we put z = 1 in (??). We get

$$\int_{Q} \eta^{-1} u_{\eta}^{-} dx \, dt = \int_{Q} (\ddot{u}_{\eta} - f) \, dx \, dt = \int_{Q} (\dot{u}_{\eta}(T, \cdot) - u_{1}) \, dx - \int_{Q} f \, dx \, dt$$

and the estimate

$$\|\eta^{-1}u_{\eta}^{-}\|_{L_{1}(Q)} \le c(f, u_{0}, u_{1}).$$
(15)

which is independent of  $\eta$ . The standard imbedding  $H^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_1(\Omega)$  and the *a priori* estimates (??) and (??) imply for the functional  $\varphi_\eta$  given as

$$\varphi_{\eta}: w \mapsto \int_{Q} a \nabla \dot{u}_{\eta} \nabla w + \dot{u}_{\eta} w \, dx \, dt \tag{16}$$

the estimate  $\|\dot{\varphi}_{\eta}\|_{L_1(I;H^2(\Omega)^*)} \leq c.$ 

Applying Lemma 1.1 we obtain that the system  $\{\varphi_{\eta}; \eta > 0\}$  is relatively compact in  $L_2(I; H^1(\Omega)^*)$ .

The *a priori* estimates (??), (??), the last relative compactness and the standard theory of linear elliptic equations yield the existence of a sequence  $\eta_k \searrow 0$  such that for  $u_k \equiv u_{\eta_k}$  the following convergence hold for any real  $p \ge 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_k &\rightharpoonup \dot{u} \text{ in } L_2(I; H^2(\Omega)) \\ \dot{u}_k &\to \dot{u} \text{ in } L_2(I; W_p^1(\Omega)), \\ u_k &\to u \text{ in } C(I; W_p^1(\Omega)), \\ \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \Phi(u_k, u_k) + \partial_t L u_k &\rightharpoonup \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \Phi(u, u) + \partial_t L u \text{ in } L_2(I; W_p^2(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

$$(17)$$

The crucial strong convergence of the derivatives is the consequence of the relative compactness of  $\{\varphi_{\eta}; \eta > 0\}$  and of the first weak convergence in (??) (see [?]) for details. Inserting the

test function  $z = y - u_k$  in (??) for  $y \in \mathcal{K}$ , performing the integration by parts in the terms containing  $\ddot{u}$ , applying the convergence (??) and the weak lower semicontinuity verifies that the limit u is a solution of the original problem  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Remark 2.2** The initial-boundary value problem for a dynamic contact of a clamped shell with Dirichlet zero boundary for deflections can be formulated and solved analogously as in the case of the viscoelastic plate in [?].

## References

- I. BOCK: On the semidiscretization and linearization of a pseudoparabolic von Kármán system for viscoelastic plates. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.29 (2006), 557–573.
- [2] I. BOCK: On a pseudoparabolic system for a viscoelastic shallow shell. PAMM Proc. Appl. Math. Mech. 6 (2006), 621-622.
- [3] I. BOCK AND J. JARUŠEK: Unilateral dynamic contact of viscoelastic von Kármán plates. Advances in Math. Sci. and Appl. 16 (2006), 175–187. Viscosity or
- [4] I. BOCK AND J. JARUŠEK: Solvability of dynamic contact problems for elastic von Kármán plates. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 41 (2009), 37–45.
- [5] C. ECK, J. JARUŠEK AND M. KRBEC: Unilateral Contact Problems in Mechanics. Variational Methods and Existence Theorems. Monographs & Textbooks in Pure & Appl. Math. No. 270 (ISBN 1-57444-629-0). Chapman & Hall/CRC (Taylor & Francis Group), Boca Raton – London – New York – Singapore 2005.
- [6] J. JARUŠEK, J. MÁLEK, J. NEČAS AND V. ŠVERÁK: Variational inequality for a viscous drum vibrating in a presence of an obstacle. *Rend. Mat., Ser. VII* 12 (1992), 943–958.
- [7] H. KOCH AND A. STACHEL: Global existence of classical solutions to the dynamical von Kármán equations. Math. Methods in Applied Sciences 16 (1993), 581–586.
- [8] VOROVIĆ, I.I.- LEBEDEV, I.P.: Existence of solutions in nonlinear theory of shallow shells, Applied Mathematics and Mechanics 36, No.4 (1972), pp.691–704.

Author's addresses: Igor BOCK: Institute of Informatics and Mathematics FEI, Slovak University of Technology, Ilkovičova 3, 812 19 Bratislava 1, Slovak Republic; e-mail: igor.bock@stuba.sk, Jiří JARUŠEK: Mathematical Institute CAS, Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic; e-mail: jarusek@math.cas.cz