#### Examples of non-hyperreflexive reflexive spaces of operators

Michal Zajac

8th WFA, September 5-10, Nemecká

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶

▶  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'$  – complex separable Hilbert spaces (Banach spaces)

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

•  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  – bounded linear operators

•  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'$  – complex separable Hilbert spaces (Banach spaces)

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

▶  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  – bounded linear operators

▶ reflexive closure of 
$$S \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$$
:  
Ref  $S = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \{T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'); Tx \in [Sx]\}$   
 $[Sx]$  is closed linear span of  $Sx = \{Sx; S \in S\}$ .

- ▶  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'$  complex separable Hilbert spaces (Banach spaces)
- $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  bounded linear operators
- ▶ reflexive closure of  $S \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ : Ref  $S = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \{ T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'); Tx \in [Sx] \}$ [Sx] is closed linear span of  $Sx = \{ Sx; S \in S \}$ .

For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ :

► d(T,S) = inf<sub>S∈S</sub> 
$$||T - S||$$
 = inf<sub>S∈S</sub> sup<sub>x∈H,||x||≤1</sub>  $||Tx - Sx||$   
►  $\alpha(T,S)$  = sup<sub>x∈H,||x||≤1</sub> inf<sub>S∈S</sub>  $||Tx - Sx||$ .

- $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'$  complex separable Hilbert spaces (Banach spaces)
- ▶  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  bounded linear operators
- ▶ reflexive closure of  $S \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ : Ref  $S = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \{ T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'); Tx \in [Sx] \}$ [Sx] is closed linear span of  $Sx = \{Sx; S \in S\}$ .

For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ :

► d(T,S) = inf 
$$||T - S||$$
 = inf  $\sup_{S \in S} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{H}, ||x|| \le 1} ||Tx - Sx||$   
►  $\alpha(T,S)$  =  $\sup_{x \in \mathcal{H}, ||x|| \le 1} \inf_{S \in S} ||Tx - Sx||$ .

Definition

A (WOT closed subspace)  $S \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$  is said to be *reflexive* if  $\operatorname{Ref} S = S$  and it is called *hyperreflexive* if  $\exists c \geq 1$  such that

$$d(T,S) \leq c \alpha(T,S) \qquad \forall T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}').$$
(1)

- $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'$  complex separable Hilbert spaces (Banach spaces)
- ▶  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  bounded linear operators
- ▶ reflexive closure of  $S \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ : Ref  $S = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \{ T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'); Tx \in [Sx] \}$ [Sx] is closed linear span of  $Sx = \{Sx; S \in S\}$ .

For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ :

► d(T,S) = inf  

$$S \in S$$
  $\|T - S\|$  = inf  
 $S \in S$  sup  
 $x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| \le 1$   $\|Tx - Sx\|$   
 $x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| \le 1$   $S \in S$   $\|Tx - Sx\|$ .

Definition

A (WOT closed subspace)  $S \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$  is said to be *reflexive* if  $\operatorname{Ref} S = S$  and it is called *hyperreflexive* if  $\exists c \geq 1$  such that

$$d(T,S) \leq c \alpha(T,S) \qquad \forall T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}').$$
(1)

Minimal such c,  $\kappa(S)$  is the *hyperreflexivity constant* of S.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

- $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'$  complex separable Hilbert spaces (Banach spaces)
- ▶  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  bounded linear operators
- ▶ reflexive closure of  $S \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ : Ref  $S = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \{ T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'); Tx \in [Sx] \}$ [Sx] is closed linear span of  $Sx = \{Sx; S \in S\}$ .

For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ :

► d(T,S) = inf  

$$S \in S$$
  $\|T - S\|$  = inf  
 $S \in S$  sup  
 $x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| \le 1$   $\|Tx - Sx\|$   
 $x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| \le 1$   $S \in S$   $\|Tx - Sx\|$ .

Definition

A (WOT closed subspace)  $S \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$  is said to be *reflexive* if  $\operatorname{Ref} S = S$  and it is called *hyperreflexive* if  $\exists c \geq 1$  such that

$$d(T,S) \leq c \alpha(T,S) \qquad \forall T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}').$$
(1)

Minimal such c,  $\kappa(S)$  is the *hyperreflexivity constant* of S.  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is (hyper)reflexive if so is Alg T.

## $T \in \operatorname{Ref} \mathcal{S} \iff \alpha(T, \mathcal{S}) = 0,$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへで

 $T \in \operatorname{Ref} S \iff \alpha(T, S) = 0$ , so hyperrefl.  $\Longrightarrow$  reflexivity.

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

 $T \in \operatorname{Ref} S \iff \alpha(T, S) = 0$ , so hyperrefl.  $\Longrightarrow$  reflexivity. Reflexive algebras: Sarason (1966), subspaces: Shulman (1973)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 $T \in \operatorname{Ref} S \iff \alpha(T, S) = 0$ , so hyperrefl.  $\Longrightarrow$  reflexivity. Reflexive algebras: Sarason (1966), subspaces: Shulman (1973) hyperreflexive algebras: Arveson (1975), subspaces: Kraus-Larson (1985)

(i)  $\alpha(T, S) \leq d(T, S)$ ,

(i) 
$$\alpha(T, S) \leq d(T, S)$$
,

(ii) Ref S is a WOT-closed subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ ,

(i) 
$$\alpha(T, S) \leq d(T, S)$$
,

(ii) Ref S is a WOT-closed subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ ,

(iii)  $\alpha(T, S) = \sup\{\|QTP\|: P, Q \text{ projections, } QSP = \{0\}\},\$ 

(ii) Ref S is a WOT-closed subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ ,

(iii)  $\alpha(T, S) = \sup\{\|QTP\|: P, Q \text{ projections, } QSP = \{0\}\},\$ 

(iv)  $\alpha(T,S) = \sup\{|(Tx,y)|: ||x|| = ||y|| = 1, (Sx,y) = 0, S \in S\},\$ 

(i) 
$$\alpha(T, S) \leq d(T, S)$$
,

(ii) Ref S is a WOT-closed subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ ,

(iii) 
$$\alpha(T, S) = \sup\{\|QTP\|: P, Q \text{ projections, } QSP = \{0\}\},\$$

(iv) 
$$\alpha(T,S) = \sup\{|(Tx,y)|: ||x|| = ||y|| = 1, (Sx,y) = 0, S \in S\},\$$

(v) reflexivity is preserved by quasi-similarity of subspaces, hyperreflexivity is not preserved,

(i) 
$$\alpha(T, S) \leq d(T, S)$$
,

(ii)  $\operatorname{Ref} \mathcal{S}$  is a WOT-closed subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}')$ ,

(iii)  $\alpha(T, S) = \sup\{\|QTP\|: P, Q \text{ projections, } QSP = \{0\}\},\$ 

(iv)  $\alpha(T,S) = \sup\{|(Tx,y)| : ||x|| = ||y|| = 1, (Sx,y) = 0, S \in S\},\$ 

- (v) reflexivity is preserved by quasi-similarity of subspaces, hyperreflexivity is not preserved,
- (vi) both are preserved by similarity.

(i) 
$$\alpha(T, S) \leq d(T, S)$$
,

(ii) Ref S is a WOT-closed subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ ,

(iii)  $\alpha(T, S) = \sup\{\|QTP\|: P, Q \text{ projections, } QSP = \{0\}\},\$ 

(iv)  $\alpha(T,S) = \sup\{|(Tx,y)| : ||x|| = ||y|| = 1, (Sx,y) = 0, S \in S\},\$ 

- (v) reflexivity is preserved by quasi-similarity of subspaces, hyperreflexivity is not preserved,
- (vi) both are preserved by similarity.

In the following proposition (vi) is stated more precisely:

## Proposition (Bessonov-Bračič-Zajac 2001)

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be complex Banach spaces and let  $S \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{X})$  be a hyperreflexive subspace of operators. If  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{X})$  are invertible operators, then  $ASB \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{Y})$  is a hyperreflexive subspace and

## Proposition (Bessonov-Bračič-Zajac 2001)

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be complex Banach spaces and let  $S \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{X})$  be a hyperreflexive subspace of operators. If  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{X})$  are invertible operators, then  $ASB \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{Y})$  is a hyperreflexive subspace and

 $\frac{1}{\|A\|\|B\|\|A^{-1}\|\|B^{-1}\|}\kappa(\mathcal{S}) \le \kappa(A\mathcal{S}B) \le \|A\|\|B\|\|A^{-1}\|\|B^{-1}\|\kappa(\mathcal{S}).$ 

### Proposition (Bessonov-Bračič-Zajac 2001)

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be complex Banach spaces and let  $S \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{X})$  be a hyperreflexive subspace of operators. If  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{X})$  are invertible operators, then  $ASB \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{Y})$  is a hyperreflexive subspace and

 $\frac{1}{\|A\|\|B\|\|A^{-1}\|\|B^{-1}\|}\kappa(\mathcal{S}) \le \kappa(A\mathcal{S}B) \le \|A\|\|B\|\|A^{-1}\|\|B^{-1}\|\kappa(\mathcal{S}).$ 

#### Corollary

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $S \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  be a hyperreflexive linear space. If U and V are unitary operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then the space USV is hyperreflexive and

$$\kappa(USV) = \kappa(S). \tag{2}$$

#### $reflexivity \implies hyperreflexivity.$

The first example has been obtained by Krause and Larson (1985). All known counterexamples are direct sum of hyperreflexive subspaces. Their constructions are based on the following facts

#### $reflexivity \Rightarrow hyperreflexivity.$

The first example has been obtained by Krause and Larson (1985). All known counterexamples are direct sum of hyperreflexive subspaces. Their constructions are based on the following facts

1. Orthogonal sum of reflexive spaces is reflexive,

2. 
$$\mathcal{S} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_n \implies \kappa(\mathcal{S}_n) \leq \kappa(\mathcal{S})$$

#### $reflexivity \Rightarrow hyperreflexivity.$

The first example has been obtained by Krause and Larson (1985). All known counterexamples are direct sum of hyperreflexive subspaces. Their constructions are based on the following facts

1. Orthogonal sum of reflexive spaces is reflexive,

2. 
$$S = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n \implies \kappa(S_n) \le \kappa(S)$$

The converse (of 2.) was proved by K. Kliś and M. Ptak (2006):

#### Theorem

$$S = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$$
 is hyperreflexive if and only if  $\forall S_n$  are hyperrefl. and  $\exists K > 0$  s.t.  $\kappa(S_n) \le K \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $H_2$  be a two-dimensional Hilbert space with orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$ . Fix  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/3$  and put  $u_1 = e_1$ ,  $u_2 = e_1 + \varepsilon e_2$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Let  $H_2$  be a two-dimensional Hilbert space with orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$ . Fix  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/3$  and put  $u_1 = e_1$ ,  $u_2 = e_1 + \varepsilon e_2$ .

#### Lemma

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ S_{\lambda,\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \mu & -(\lambda+\mu)/\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} : \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

Let  $H_2$  be a two-dimensional Hilbert space with orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$ . Fix  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/3$  and put  $u_1 = e_1$ ,  $u_2 = e_1 + \varepsilon e_2$ .

#### Lemma

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ S_{\lambda,\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \mu & -(\lambda+\mu)/\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} : \ \lambda,\mu \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \,.$$

Then  $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}$  is a hyperreflexive subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(H_2)$  with

$$\kappa(\mathcal{S}) \ge \frac{1}{3\varepsilon}.$$
(3)

Let  $H_2$  be a two-dimensional Hilbert space with orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$ . Fix  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/3$  and put  $u_1 = e_1$ ,  $u_2 = e_1 + \varepsilon e_2$ .

#### Lemma

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ S_{\lambda,\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \mu & -(\lambda+\mu)/\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} : \ \lambda,\mu \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \,.$$

Then  $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}$  is a hyperreflexive subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(H_2)$  with

$$\kappa(\mathcal{S}) \ge \frac{1}{3\varepsilon}.$$
(3)

(3) has been proved directly from the definition. Now, we can give more precise estimate.

# Theorem (S. Tosaka 1999) Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2$ and let $\mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{M}$ be one-dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ , *i.e.* $\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}$ . Denote

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

## Theorem (S. Tosaka 1999) Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2$ and let $\mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{M}$ be one-dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ , *i.e.* $\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}$ . Denote Alg $\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}\} = \{T \in B(\mathcal{H}); T\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \text{ and } T\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}\}.$ $\varphi = \measuredangle(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}).$

Then

Theorem (S. Tosaka 1999) Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2$  and let  $\mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{M}$  be one-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ , *i.e.*  $\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}$ . Denote  $\operatorname{Alg}\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}\} = \{T \in B(\mathcal{H}); \ T\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \text{ and } T\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}\}.$   $\varphi = \measuredangle(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}).$ Then  $\operatorname{Alg}\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}\}$  is hyperreflexive and

 $\kappa(\operatorname{Alg}\{\mathcal{L},\mathcal{M}\}) = \frac{1}{\sin \omega}$ 

Theorem (S. Tosaka 1999) Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2$  and let  $\mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{M}$  be one-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ , *i.e.*  $\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}$ . Denote  $\operatorname{Alg}\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}\} = \{T \in B(\mathcal{H}); \ T\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \text{ and } T\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}\}.$   $\varphi = \measuredangle(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}).$ Then  $\operatorname{Alg}\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}\}$  is hyperreflexive and

$$\kappa(\operatorname{Alg}\{\mathcal{L},\mathcal{M}\}) = \frac{1}{\sin \varphi}.$$

Lemma

$$\kappa(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2}}{\varepsilon} > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$
 (4)

Theorem (S. Tosaka 1999) Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2$  and let  $\mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{M}$  be one-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ , *i.e.*  $\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}$ . Denote  $\operatorname{Alg}\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}\} = \{T \in B(\mathcal{H}); \ T\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \text{ and } T\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}\}.$   $\varphi = \measuredangle(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}).$ Then  $\operatorname{Alg}\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}\}$  is hyperreflexive and

$$\kappa(\operatorname{Alg}\{\mathcal{L},\mathcal{M}\}) = \frac{1}{\sin\varphi}.$$

Lemma

$$\kappa(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) = rac{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2}}{\varepsilon} > rac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$
 (4)

 $S_{\varepsilon}$  from the Kraus-Larson example is not  $Alg\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}\}$  (from Tosaka). However it is unitary equivalent to such an algebra:

Observe that  $U = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$  is unitary and for  $orall \, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ 

$$US_{\lambda,\mu} = U\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \mu & -(\lambda+\mu)/\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu & (\lambda+\mu)/\varepsilon \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$
  
Putting  $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Observe that  $U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is unitary and for  $\forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ 

$$US_{\lambda,\mu} = U\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \mu & -(\lambda+\mu)/\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu & (\lambda+\mu)/\varepsilon \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$
  
Putting  $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

we obtain  $US_{\varepsilon} = Alg\{[u_1], [u_2]\}, u_1 = e_1, u_2 = e_1 + \varepsilon e_2$ , and

$$\kappa(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{\sin\varphi}\,,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Observe that  $U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is unitary and for  $\forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ 

$$US_{\lambda,\mu} = U\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \mu & -(\lambda+\mu)/\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu & (\lambda+\mu)/\varepsilon \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$
  
Putting  $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

we obtain  $US_{\varepsilon} = Alg\{[u_1], [u_2]\}, u_1 = e_1, u_2 = e_1 + \varepsilon e_2$ , and

$$\kappa(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{\sin\varphi}\,,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where 
$$\cos \varphi = \frac{(u_1, u_2)}{\|u_1\| \|u_2\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2}}.$$

Observe that  $U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is unitary and for  $\forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ 

$$US_{\lambda,\mu} = U\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \mu & -(\lambda+\mu)/\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu & (\lambda+\mu)/\varepsilon \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$
  
Putting  $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

we obtain  $US_{\varepsilon} = Alg\{[u_1], [u_2]\}$ ,  $u_1 = e_1, u_2 = e_1 + \varepsilon e_2$ , and

$$\kappa(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{\sin\varphi}\,,$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

where 
$$\cos \varphi = \frac{(u_1, u_2)}{\|u_1\| \|u_2\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2}}$$
.  
 $\sin \varphi = \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \varphi} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2}} \implies \frac{1}{\sin \varphi} = \frac{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2}}{\varepsilon} > \frac{1}{\varepsilon} ..$ 

Kraus-Larson example can be also used (M.Z. 2008) to show that there are reflexive intertwiners which are not hyperreflexive.

Kraus-Larson example can be also used (M.Z. 2008) to show that there are reflexive intertwiners which are not hyperreflexive. The intertwiner of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), T' \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}')$  is

$$I(T,T') = \{X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}') : XT = T'X\}.$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Kraus-Larson example can be also used (M.Z. 2008) to show that there are reflexive intertwiners which are not hyperreflexive. The intertwiner of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), T' \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}')$  is

$$I(T,T') = \{X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}') : XT = T'X\}.$$

Putting 
$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -n & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

we obtain

Kraus-Larson example can be also used (M.Z. 2008) to show that there are reflexive intertwiners which are not hyperreflexive. The intertwiner of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), T' \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}')$  is

$$I(T,T') = \{X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}') : XT = T'X\}.$$

Putting 
$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $B_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -n & 1 \end{pmatrix}$   
we obtain  $X \in I(A_n, B_n) \iff \exists \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} : X_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \mu & -n(\lambda+\mu) \end{pmatrix}$ ,  
i.e.  $I(A_n, B_n) = S_{1/n}$  from the Kraus-Larson example.

Kraus-Larson example can be also used (M.Z. 2008) to show that there are reflexive intertwiners which are not hyperreflexive. The intertwiner of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), T' \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}')$  is

$$I(T,T') = \{X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}') : XT = T'X\}.$$

Putting 
$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $B_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -n & 1 \end{pmatrix}$   
we obtain  $X \in I(A_n, B_n) \iff \exists \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} : X_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \mu & -n(\lambda+\mu) \end{pmatrix}$ ,

i.e.  $I(A_n, B_n) = S_{1/n}$  from the Kraus-Larson example. Now it is easy to prove

## Theorem (M.Z. 2008)

There exist operators T, T' for which I(T, T') is reflexive but not hyperreflexive.

It is enough to put

$$T_n = e^{\pi/n} \frac{1}{n} (nI + A_n), \qquad T'_n = e^{\pi/n} \frac{1}{n} (nI + B_n).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## Then

►  $I(T_n, T'_n) = I(A_n, A'_n),$ 

It is enough to put

$$T_n = e^{\pi/n} \frac{1}{n} (nI + A_n), \qquad T'_n = e^{\pi/n} \frac{1}{n} (nI + B_n).$$

## Then

It is enough to put

$$T_n = e^{\pi/n} \frac{1}{n} (nI + A_n), \qquad T'_n = e^{\pi/n} \frac{1}{n} (nI + B_n).$$

## Then

• operators  $T = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$ ,  $T' = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} T'_n$  are bounded

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

It is enough to put

$$T_n = e^{\pi/n} \frac{1}{n} (nI + A_n), \qquad T'_n = e^{\pi/n} \frac{1}{n} (nI + B_n).$$

## Then

$$\blacktriangleright I(T_n, T'_n) = I(A_n, A'_n),$$

• 
$$||A_n|| = ||B_n|| = \sqrt{1+n^2} \implies ||T_n|| \le 1 + \frac{\sqrt{1+n^2}}{n} < 3,$$

▶ analogously, 
$$\{\|T'_n\|\} \leq 1 + rac{\sqrt{1+n^2}}{n} < 3,$$

• operators 
$$T = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$$
,  $T' = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} T'_n$  are bounded

For  $n \neq m$  the minimal polynomials of  $T_n$  and  $T'_m$  are relatively prime,

$$\blacktriangleright \implies I(T_n, T'_m) = \{0\} \implies I(T, T') = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} I(T_n, T'_n)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

It is enough to put

$$T_n = e^{\pi/n} \frac{1}{n} (nI + A_n), \qquad T'_n = e^{\pi/n} \frac{1}{n} (nI + B_n).$$

## Then

$$\blacktriangleright I(T_n, T'_n) = I(A_n, A'_n),$$

• 
$$||A_n|| = ||B_n|| = \sqrt{1+n^2} \implies ||T_n|| \le 1 + \frac{\sqrt{1+n^2}}{n} < 3,$$

▶ analogously, 
$$\{\|T'_n\|\} \leq 1 + rac{\sqrt{1+n^2}}{n} < 3,$$

• operators 
$$T = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$$
,  $T' = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} T'_n$  are bounded

For  $n \neq m$  the minimal polynomials of  $T_n$  and  $T'_m$  are relatively prime,

$$\blacktriangleright \implies I(T_n, T'_m) = \{0\} \implies I(T, T') = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} I(T_n, T'_n)$$

Thus the Kraus-Larson example is also an example of intertwiner which is reflexive but not hyperreflexive.

The preceding example can be modified to obtain  $C_0$  contraction T with reflexive, but not hyperreflexive commutant. Put

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

The preceding example can be modified to obtain  $C_0$  contraction T with reflexive, but not hyperreflexive commutant. Put

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ D_n = (1 - \frac{1}{n})I + \frac{1}{n^2}A_n, \ T_n = \frac{e^{i\pi/n}}{\|D_n\|}D_n$$

Again, by Tosaka,  $\kappa \{T_n\}' = \kappa \{A_n\}' = \sqrt{1 + n^2}$ . Thus we obtain:

The preceding example can be modified to obtain  $C_0$  contraction T with reflexive, but not hyperreflexive commutant. Put

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ D_n = (1 - \frac{1}{n})I + \frac{1}{n^2}A_n, \ T_n = \frac{e^{i\pi/n}}{\|D_n\|}D_n$$

Again, by Tosaka,  $\kappa\{T_n\}' = \kappa\{A_n\}' = \sqrt{1+n^2}$ . Thus we obtain: (i)  $||T_n|| = 1$ . (ii)  $D_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/n \\ 1-(1/n)+(1/n^2) \end{pmatrix} \implies ||D_n|| > 1 - (1/n) + (1/n^2)$ , (iii) spectrum  $\sigma(D_n) = \{1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2}\}$ , i.e.  $||D_N|| > r(D_n)$ 

The preceding example can be modified to obtain  $C_0$  contraction T with reflexive, but not hyperreflexive commutant. Put

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ D_n = (1 - \frac{1}{n})I + \frac{1}{n^2}A_n, \ T_n = \frac{e^{i\pi/n}}{\|D_n\|}D_n$$

Again, by Tosaka,  $\kappa \{T_n\}' = \kappa \{A_n\}' = \sqrt{1 + n^2}$ . Thus we obtain: (i)  $||T_n|| = 1$ . (ii)  $D_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/n \\ 1 - (1/n) + (1/n^2) \end{pmatrix} \implies ||D_n|| > 1 - (1/n) + (1/n^2)$ , (iii) spectrum  $\sigma(D_n) = \{1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2}\}$ , i.e.  $||D_N|| > r(D_n)$  $\sigma(T_n) = \{\lambda_n, \mu_n\}, |\lambda_n| < |\mu_n| < 1$ ,  $\lim |\lambda_n| = \lim |\mu_n| = 1$ .

The preceding example can be modified to obtain  $C_0$  contraction T with reflexive, but not hyperreflexive commutant. Put

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ D_n = (1 - \frac{1}{n})I + \frac{1}{n^2}A_n, \ T_n = \frac{e^{i\pi/n}}{\|D_n\|}D_n$$

Again, by Tosaka,  $\kappa \{T_n\}' = \kappa \{A_n\}' = \sqrt{1 + n^2}$ . Thus we obtain: (i)  $||T_n|| = 1$ . (ii)  $D_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/n \\ 1 - (1/n) + (1/n^2) \end{pmatrix} \implies ||D_n|| > 1 - (1/n) + (1/n^2)$ , (iii) spectrum  $\sigma(D_n) = \{1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2}\}$ , i.e.  $||D_N|| > r(D_n)$   $\sigma(T_n) = \{\lambda_n, \mu_n\}, |\lambda_n| < |\mu_n| < 1$ ,  $\lim |\lambda_n| = \lim |\mu_n| = 1$ . (iv) If  $m \neq n$  then  $\sigma(T_n) \cap \sigma(T_m) = \emptyset$ .

◆ロト ◆母 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ● 臣 ● のへで

There exists a sequence of matrices  $T_k \in C^{2 \times 2}$  such that

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶

1.  $||T_k|| = 1$  for all k = 1, 2, ...

There exists a sequence of matrices  $T_k \in C^{2 \times 2}$  such that

1.  $||T_k|| = 1$  for all k = 1, 2, ...

2. Each  $T_k$  has two eigenvalues  $\lambda_k \neq \mu_k$  and therefore its commutant  $\{T_k\}'$  is hyperreflexive.

There exists a sequence of matrices  $T_k \in C^{2 \times 2}$  such that

- 1.  $||T_k|| = 1$  for all k = 1, 2, ...
- 2. Each  $T_k$  has two eigenvalues  $\lambda_k \neq \mu_k$  and therefore its commutant  $\{T_k\}'$  is hyperreflexive.
- 3. For any  $k \neq m$  the spectra of  $T_k$  and  $T_m$  are disjoint, i.e.  $\{\lambda_k, \mu_k\} \cap \{\lambda_m, \mu_m\} = \emptyset$ .

4.  $\lim_{k\to\infty}\kappa(\{T_k\}')=\infty.$ 

There exists a sequence of matrices  $T_k \in C^{2 \times 2}$  such that

- 1.  $||T_k|| = 1$  for all k = 1, 2, ...
- 2. Each  $T_k$  has two eigenvalues  $\lambda_k \neq \mu_k$  and therefore its commutant  $\{T_k\}'$  is hyperreflexive.
- 3. For any  $k \neq m$  the spectra of  $T_k$  and  $T_m$  are disjoint, i.e.  $\{\lambda_k, \mu_k\} \cap \{\lambda_m, \mu_m\} = \emptyset$ .

4. 
$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\kappa(\{T_k\}')=\infty.$$

- 5.  $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ (1 |\lambda_k|) + (1 |\mu_k|) \right] < \infty$  and, consequently,
- 6. Blaschke product  $B(\lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\lambda_k}}{|\lambda_k|} \frac{\lambda_k \lambda}{1 \overline{\lambda_k}\lambda} \frac{\overline{\mu_k}}{|\mu_k|} \frac{\mu_k \lambda}{1 \overline{\mu_k}\lambda}$  converges in the open unit disk.

There exists a sequence of matrices  $T_k \in C^{2 \times 2}$  such that

- 1.  $||T_k|| = 1$  for all k = 1, 2, ...
- 2. Each  $T_k$  has two eigenvalues  $\lambda_k \neq \mu_k$  and therefore its commutant  $\{T_k\}'$  is hyperreflexive.
- 3. For any  $k \neq m$  the spectra of  $T_k$  and  $T_m$  are disjoint, i.e.  $\{\lambda_k, \mu_k\} \cap \{\lambda_m, \mu_m\} = \emptyset$ .

4. 
$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\kappa(\{T_k\}')=\infty.$$

5. 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(1 - |\lambda_k|) + (1 - |\mu_k|)] < \infty$$
 and, consequently,

6. Blaschke product  $B(\lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\lambda_k}}{|\lambda_k|} \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda}{1 - \overline{\lambda_k} \lambda} \frac{\overline{\mu_k}}{|\mu_k|} \frac{\mu_k - \lambda}{1 - \overline{\mu_k} \lambda}$  converges in the open unit disk.

Consequently,  $T = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k$  is a  $C_0$  contraction having minimal function  $B(\lambda)$  and  $\{T\}'$  is reflexive but not hyperreflexive.

$$S_m \in \mathcal{L}(H^2 \ominus mH^2), \quad S_m u = P_m[\lambda u(\lambda)].$$

$$S_m \in \mathcal{L}(H^2 \ominus mH^2), \quad S_m u = P_m[\lambda u(\lambda)].$$

For *T* from the previous screen defect indices  $\dim \overline{(I - T^*T)\mathcal{H}} = \dim \overline{(I - TT^*)\mathcal{H}} = \infty,$ 

$$S_m \in \mathcal{L}(H^2 \ominus mH^2), \quad S_m u = P_m[\lambda u(\lambda)].$$

For T from the previous screen defect indices dim  $\overline{(I - T^*T)\mathcal{H}} = \dim \overline{(I - TT^*)\mathcal{H}} = \infty$ , each  $S_m$  has defect indices =1. Thus T is not similar to model  $S_m$ 

$$S_m \in \mathcal{L}(H^2 \ominus mH^2), \quad S_m u = P_m[\lambda u(\lambda)].$$

For T from the previous screen defect indices dim  $\overline{(I - T^*T)\mathcal{H}} = \dim \overline{(I - TT^*)\mathcal{H}} = \infty$ , each  $S_m$  has defect indices =1. Thus T is not similar to model  $S_m$ Now, a construction of reflexive but not hyperreflexive  $S_m$  will be indicated:

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Theorem

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\lambda| < 1$  denote the corresponding Blaschke factor

$$b_{\lambda}(z) = rac{|\lambda|}{\lambda} rac{\lambda-z}{1-\overline{\lambda}z}$$

and let B be a Blaschke product having only simple zeroes:

$$B(z)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}b_{\lambda_n}(z)$$

Theorem

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\lambda| < 1$  denote the corresponding Blaschke factor

$$b_{\lambda}(z) = rac{|\lambda|}{\lambda} rac{\lambda-z}{1-\overline{\lambda}z}$$

and let B be a Blaschke product having only simple zeroes:

$$B(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{\lambda_n}(z)$$
 and let  $B_{\lambda_n}(z) = rac{B(z)}{b_{\lambda_n}(z)}$ .

Theorem

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\lambda| < 1$  denote the corresponding Blaschke factor

$$b_{\lambda}(z) = rac{|\lambda|}{\lambda} rac{\lambda-z}{1-\overline{\lambda}z}$$

and let B be a Blaschke product having only simple zeroes:

$$B(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{\lambda_n}(z)$$
 and let  $B_{\lambda_n}(z) = rac{B(z)}{b_{\lambda_n}(z)}$ .

If B satisfies the Carleson condition

$$\inf_n |B_{\lambda_n}(\lambda_n)| > 0\,,$$

Theorem

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\lambda| < 1$  denote the corresponding Blaschke factor

$$b_{\lambda}(z) = rac{|\lambda|}{\lambda} rac{\lambda-z}{1-\overline{\lambda}z}$$

and let B be a Blaschke product having only simple zeroes:

$$B(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{\lambda_n}(z)$$
 and let  $B_{\lambda_n}(z) = rac{B(z)}{b_{\lambda_n}(z)}$ .

If B satisfies the Carleson condition

$$\inf_n |B_{\lambda_n}(\lambda_n)| > 0,$$

then  $S_B$  is hyperreflexive.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

$$B(z) = C(z)D(z)$$
, where  
 $C(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{\mu_n}(z)$ ,  $D(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{\nu_n}(z)$  such that  
 $0 < |\mu_n - \nu_n|$  is sufficiently small, i.e. *B* is 'almost' a square.

$$B(z) = C(z)D(z)$$
, where  
 $C(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{\mu_n}(z)$ ,  $D(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{\nu_n}(z)$  such that

 $0 < |\mu_n - \nu_n|$  is sufficiently small, i.e. *B* is 'almost' a square.

Then  $S_B$  is similar to the direct sum of its restrictions  $M_n$  to the 2-dimensional spaces spanned by the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\mu_n$  and  $\nu_n$ 

$$B(z) = C(z)D(z)$$
, where  
 $C(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{\mu_n}(z)$ ,  $D(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{\nu_n}(z)$  such that

 $0 < |\mu_n - \nu_n|$  is sufficiently small, i.e. *B* is 'almost' a square.

Then  $S_B$  is similar to the direct sum of its restrictions  $M_n$  to the 2-dimensional spaces spanned by the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\mu_n$  and  $\nu_n$ 

Then the angle that make those eigenvalues  $\rightarrow$  0 and, consequently

$$\lim \kappa(S_B|M_n) = \infty.$$

So this example is again of the Kraus-Larson type.

We conclude with a natural open problem:

## Question

Does there exist a non-hyperreflexive reflexive space of operators which is not similar to a direct sum of reflexive spaces?

# Thank you for your attention

## Thank you for participating in 8th WFA

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ = ● ● ●