

## HYPERREFLEXIVITY CONSTANTS — A NUMERICAL EXPERIMENT

MICHAL ZAJAC

ABSTRACT. We continue the study of hyperreflexivity constants of 1-dimensional subspaces of linear operators acting in  $\ell_n^1(\mathbb{C})$  spaces [1, 3].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $m, n$  be positive integers and let  $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  be the space of all  $m \times n$  complex matrices. Here we shall consider only the case  $m = n = 2$ . Matrices will be considered as linear operators acting in  $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 1}$  equipped with  $\ell^1$  norm.

The *reflexive cover* of a linear subspace  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  is given by

$$\text{Ref } \mathcal{S} = \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}; Ax \in Sx, \forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n\}.$$

Obviously  $\mathcal{S} \subset \text{Ref } \mathcal{S}$ . If  $S = \text{Ref } \mathcal{S}$ , then  $S$  is said to be *reflexive*. It is well-known that every one-dimensional subspace is reflexive. Since we are working in finite dimensional spaces every reflexive space is also *hyperreflexive*, i.e. there exists the (minimal) constant  $\kappa(\mathcal{S}) \geq 1$  such that  $\alpha(A, \mathcal{S}) \leq \kappa(\mathcal{S}) \text{dist}(A, \mathcal{S})$  for every  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ . Here

$$\text{dist}(A, \mathcal{S}) = \min_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \|A - S\| = \min_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \max_{\|x\|=1} \|(A - S)x\|$$

denotes the usual and

$$\alpha(A, \mathcal{S}) = \max_{\|x\|=1} \min_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \|(A - S)x\|.$$

the Arveson distance of  $A$  to subspace  $\mathcal{S}$ .

In this paper we investigate the hyperreflexivity constant of the spaces  $\mathcal{S}_k = \{\lambda J_k : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$ , where  $k \geq 0$  and  $J_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix}$ . It was shown in [1] that  $\kappa(\mathcal{S}_0) = 1$  and  $\kappa(\mathcal{S}_1) = \sqrt{2}$ .

In Section 2 we present some results from [3] and a new lemma that allows to perform numerical experiments. In the last section we describe the results of a numerical experiment. The computations were made using MATLAB.

## 2. THEORETICAL RESULTS

The following lemma shows that it is enough to deal with spaces  $\mathcal{S}_k$  for  $k \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $k \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $J_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\tilde{J}_k = \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Put  $\mathcal{S}_k = \{\lambda J_k : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k = \{\lambda \tilde{J}_k : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$ .*

*For every matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  and every vector  $u = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$  with  $\|u\|_1 = |x| + |y| = 1$  it holds*

$$\text{dist}(A, \lambda J_k) = \text{dist}(\tilde{A}, \lambda \tilde{J}_k), \tag{1}$$

$$\alpha(A, \lambda J_k) = \alpha(\tilde{A}, \lambda \tilde{J}_k), \tag{2}$$

$$\kappa(\mathcal{S}_k) = \kappa(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{1/k}) \tag{3}$$

where  $\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A47.

Partially supported by grant VEGA 1/0426/12 of the Ministry of Education of the Slovak Republic.

*Proof.* (1) is an obvious consequence of the equality  $\|A - \lambda J_k\| = \|\tilde{A} - \lambda \tilde{J}_k\|$ . Assertion (2) follows from the similar equality  $\|(A - \lambda J_k)(x \ y)^\top\|_1 = \|(\tilde{A} - \lambda \tilde{J}_k)(y \ x)^\top\|_1$  and consequently  $\kappa(\mathcal{S}_k) = \kappa(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k)$ .

Now, putting  $\mu = k\lambda$  we obtain for every  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$

$$A - \lambda J_k = A - \mu \tilde{J}_{1/k}$$

which shows that  $\kappa(J_k) = \kappa(\tilde{J}_{1/k}) = \kappa(J_{1/k})$ .  $\square$

The following result is a particular case of [3, Lemma 3.1] (see also [1] for the case  $k = 1$ ).

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $k > 0$  and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Then*

$$\text{dist}(A, \mathcal{S}_k) = \max\{|b|, |c|, \frac{1}{1+k}(|ka - d| + |b| + |kc|)\}. \quad (4)$$

Now we use Lemma 2 to further reduction of computations. It is obvious that

$$\kappa(\mathcal{S}_k) = \sup\left\{\frac{\text{dist}(A, \mathcal{S}_k)}{\alpha(A, \mathcal{S}_k)} : A \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}, A \notin \mathcal{S}_k\right\}. \quad (5)$$

Since both functions  $A \rightarrow \text{dist}(A, \mathcal{S}_k)$  and  $A \rightarrow \alpha(A, \mathcal{S}_k)$  are seminors we may and do assume that  $\text{dist}(A, \mathcal{S}_k) = 1$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that we may also assume that (2, 2)-entry of  $A$  is zero. More precisely [3, Lemma 3.2]

$$\kappa(\mathcal{S}_k) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{\alpha(A, \mathcal{S}_k)}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & be^{i\beta} \\ ce^{i\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} : 1 > b, c \geq 0, b + c > 0, a = \frac{1}{k}(1 + k - b - kc), \beta, \gamma \in (-\pi, \pi] \right\}.$$

Finally, to compute  $\max_{\|x\|=1} \min_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|(A - \lambda J_k)x\|$  for  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  it is enough to take  $x = (r, (1-r)e^{i\phi})^\top$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ ,  $\phi \in (-\pi, \pi]$ . In this case, by [3, Theorem 3.3],

$$\min_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|(A - \lambda J_k)x\| = \min\{r, k(1-r)\} \left| a + b \frac{(1-r)}{r} e^{i\phi} - \frac{cr}{k(1-r)} e^{-i\phi} \right|. \quad (7)$$

### 3. A NUMERICAL EXPERIMENT

Relations (6) and (7) can be used to write a MATLAB program which computes  $\kappa(\mathcal{S}_k)$  (See Appendix). The numerical results are summarized in Tab. 1. Based on those computations we formulate

**Conjecture 3.**  $\kappa(\mathcal{S}_k) \leq \sqrt{2}$  for all  $k > 0$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \kappa(\mathcal{S}_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \kappa(\mathcal{S}_k) = 1$ .

**Remark 4.** It is known that in Hilbert space 1-dimensional subspaces have hyperreflexivity constant equal to 1 [2]. Using inequalities

$$\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \leq \|x\|_1 = |x_1| + |x_2|, \quad \|x\|_1 \leq \sqrt{2} \|x\|_2$$

it is easy to prove that  $1 \leq \kappa(\mathcal{S}_k) \leq 2$  for all  $k > 0$ . 1-dimensional subspaces having  $\kappa(\mathcal{S}) = 1$  are known [1, Theorem 1]. So it is natural to ask whether there exist a 1-dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $2 \times 2$  matrices having  $\kappa(\mathcal{S}) = 2$ .

**Table 1.** Results of computing  $\kappa(\mathcal{S}_k)$

$k$	1	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.8	2	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.8	3
$\kappa(\mathcal{S}_k)$	1.41421	1.41274	1.41342	1.41354	1.41406	1.41421	1.40985	1.40465	1.39984	1.39247	1.38442
$k$	3.5	4	4.5	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$\kappa(\mathcal{S}_k)$	1.36379	1.34164	1.31864	1.29532	1.25000	1.24998	1.21622	1.19048	1.17021	1.15385	1.14035
$k$	13	14	15	20	25	30	35	40	50	100	200
$\kappa(\mathcal{S}_k)$	1.12903	1.11940	1.11111	1.093750	1.07438	1.06164	1.05263	1.04592	1.03659	1.01815	1.00903

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bračič, V. Rozborová, M. Zajac, *Hyperreflexivity constants of some spaces of matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **439** (2013), 1340–1349
- [2] B. Magajna, *On the distance to finite-dimensional subspaces in operator algebra*, J. London Math. Soc. **47** (1993), 516–532.
- [3] V. Rozborová, *Hyperreflexivity constants of spaces of matrices*, Proceedings of the 15th Conference ELITECH'13, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology STU, Bratislava, June 2013.

## Appendix — MATLAB program.

```

clc; clear all
for k=1:0.2:2; %computes  $\kappa(\mathcal{S}_k)$  for k=1, 1.2, ..., 1.9, 2
    r1=(k/(k+1)); h1=(r1-0.01)/10; h2=(1-r1)/10; %steps for  $x_1$ 
    h=0.1; %step for entries of matrix A
    m2=1; %initializing of minimal  $\alpha(A, \mathcal{S}_k)$ 
    for b1=0.1:h:1 %abs hodnota A[12]
        for c1=0:h:0.9; %abs. value of A[21]
            m1=max([c1 b1]); %first estimate of  $\alpha(A, \mathcal{S}_k)$  (for r=1,r=0)
            a=((1-b1)/k)+(1-c1); %A[11]
            for t1=-1:h:1-h; b=b1*exp(i*t1*pi); %A[12]/ $\pi$ 
                for t2=-1:h:1-h; c=c1*exp(i*t2*pi); %A[21]
                    for r=0.01:h1:r1-h1; %x1
                        for t3=-1:0.05:0.95; %arg  $x_2/\pi$ 
                            x1=r; x2=(1-r)*exp(i*t3*pi); %unit vector x=(x1,x2)
                            ALPHAx=(abs(a*x1+b*x2-(c*r*r)/(k*x2)));
                            m1=max([m1 ALPHAx]);
                        end
                    end
                end
            end
            for r=r1:h2:1-h2;
                for t3=-1:0.05:0.95; %arg  $x_2/\pi$ 
                    x1=r; x2=(1-r)*exp(i*t3*pi); %jednotkový vektor x
                    ALPHAx=abs((k*x2*a)+((b*k*x2*x2)/x1)-(c*x1));
                end
            end
            m2=min([m2 m1]);
        end
    end
end
end
end
k kappa=1/m2 %output: k,  $\kappa(\mathcal{S}_k)$ , i.e., actual k and  $kappa(\mathcal{S}_k)$  are displayed
end

```