

On a dynamic contact problem for a geometrically nonlinear viscoelastic shell

Igor Bock¹ and Jiří Jarušek²

¹Slovak University of Technology Bratislava, Slovakia

²Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic

WFA 2011, Nemecká, Slovakia

Outline

- 1 Hyperbolic (dynamic) contact problems**
 - An excursion to a history
- 2 Notations and geometrical assumptions**
- 3 Contact of a viscoelastic shell**
 - Contact of the shell free on the boundary
 - Solving by penalization

Vibrating string against an obstacle

Much less papers comparing with static and quasistatic problems

L. Amerio and G. Prouse, Study of the motion of a string vibrating against an obstacle, *Rend. Mat.* 2 (1975), 563-585.

C. Citrini, The energy theorem in the impact of a string vibrating against an obstacle, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend.* 62 (1977), 143-149.

M. Schatzman, A hyperbolic problem of second order with unilateral constraints: The vibrating string with a concave obstacle, *Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl.* 73, (1980), 138-191.

Contact problems for membranes and plates

Hilbert space approach:

K. Maruo, Existence of solutions of some nonlinear wave equations, *Osaka Math. J.* 22, (1984), 21-30.

Boundary contact:

J.U. Kim, A boundary thin obstacle problem for a wave equation, *Comm.Part.Diff.Eqs.* 14, (1989), 1011-1026.

Viscoelastic membrane:

J. Jarušek, J. Málek, J. Nečas, and V. ŠvĚrák, Variational inequality for a viscous drum vibrating in the presence of an obstacle, *Rend. Mat.* 12, (1992), 943-958.

Dynamic contact of geometrically nonlinear plates

Viscoelastic short memory plate:

I.Bock and J. Jarušek: Unilateral dynamic contact of viscoelastic von Kármán plates. *Advances in Math. Sci. and Appl.* **16** (2006), 175–187.

Singular memory plate:

I.B. and J.J.: Unilateral dynamic contact of von Kármán plates with singular memory. *Applications of Math.* **52** (2007), 515–527.

Elastic plate:

I.B. and J.J.: Solvability of dynamic contact problems for elastic von Kármán plates. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **41** (2009), 37–45.

Notations

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a bounded domain with a boundary Γ .
 $I \equiv (0, T)$ a time interval, $Q = I \times \Omega$, $S = I \times \Gamma$.

The unit outer normal vector $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2)$,
 $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (-n_2, n_1)$ the unit tangent vector.

Partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{s}} \equiv \partial_{\mathbf{s}}, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{s} \partial r} \equiv \partial_{sr}, \quad \partial_i = \partial_{x_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$
$$\dot{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t}, \quad \ddot{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{v}}{\partial t^2}.$$

Function spaces

$W_p^k(M) \subset L_p(M)$, $k \geq 0$, $p \in [1, \infty]$ the Sobolev spaces.

$$\mathring{W}_p^k(M) \subset W_p^k(M)$$

the spaces of functions with zero traces on the boundary .

$$H^k(M) = W_2^k(M), \mathring{H}^k(M) = \mathring{W}_2^k(M), H^{-k}(M) = (\mathring{H}^k(M))^*.$$

The anisotropic spaces

$$W_p^k(M), k = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty),$$

k_1 is related with the time while k_2 with the space variables.

$C(M)$, $C(I; M)$ the spaces of continuous functions.

$$\mathcal{H} = L_\infty(I; H^2(\Omega)), \mathring{\mathcal{H}} = L_\infty(I; \mathring{H}^2(\Omega)).$$

Strain-displacements relations

A shallow isotropic shell occupying the domain

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega, |z - \mathcal{S}(x)| < h\}$$

with $z = \mathcal{S}(x)$, $x \in \Omega$ - a middle surface of a shell.

The displacement $\mathbf{u} \equiv (u_i)$.

Moderately large deflections for von Kármán-Donnell model :
Strain tensor

$$\varepsilon_{ij}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i + \partial_i u_3 \partial_j u_3) - k_{ij} u_3 - x_3 \partial_{ij} u_3;$$
$$k_{12} = 0, \text{ curvatures } k_{ij} > 0, i, j = 1, 2.$$

The important bracket

$$[u, v] \equiv \partial_{11} u \partial_{22} v + \partial_{22} u \partial_{11} v - 2 \partial_{12} u \partial_{12} v.$$

Stress-strain relation

$$\sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{E_1}{1-\mu^2} \partial_t \left((1-\mu)\varepsilon_{ij}(\mathbf{u}) + \mu\delta_{ij}\varepsilon_{kk}(\mathbf{u}) \right) + \frac{E_0}{1-\mu^2} \left((1-\mu)\varepsilon_{ij}(\mathbf{u}) + \mu\delta_{ij}\varepsilon_{kk}(\mathbf{u}) \right).$$

$E_0 > 0$ - the Young modulus of elasticity and

$E_1 > 0$ - the modulus of viscosity,

$a = \frac{h^2}{12}$ - the rotation inertia term, $b = \frac{h^2}{12\rho(1-\mu^2)}$

$\mu \in \langle 0, \frac{1}{2} \rangle$ Poisson ratio.

$2h > 0$ - the shell thickness, $\rho > 0$ - the density of the material.

The shell free on the boundary is acting under

the perpendicular load $f : Q \mapsto R$

and an unknown contact force g .

Contact of the shell free on the boundary

Classical formulation

Initial-boundary value problem

for the bending function u and the Airy stress function v

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \ddot{u} + a\Delta\ddot{u} + b(E_1\Delta^2\dot{u} + E_0\Delta^2u) - [u, v] - \Delta_k v \\ = f + g, \\ u \geq 0, g \geq 0, ug = 0, \\ \Delta^2 v = \\ - (E_1\partial_t(\frac{1}{2}[u, u] + \Delta_k u) + E_0(\frac{1}{2}[u, u] + \Delta_k u)) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{on } Q, \quad (1)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u \geq 0, \Sigma(u) \geq 0, u\Sigma(u) = 0, \\ \mathcal{M}(u) = 0, v = \partial_n v = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{on } S, \quad (2)$$

$$u(0, \cdot) = u_0 \geq 0, \dot{u}(0, \cdot) = u_1 \text{ on } \Omega, \quad (3)$$

Bilinear form

with the generalized Laplacian

$$\Delta_k u = k_{22} \partial_{11} u + k_{11} \partial_{22} u, \quad u \in H^2(\Omega).$$

We introduce the bilinear form

$$\begin{aligned} A(u, y) &:= \partial_{11} u \partial_{11} y + \partial_{22} u \partial_{22} y \\ &+ \mu(\partial_{11} u \partial_{22} y + \partial_{22} u \partial_{11} y) + 2(1 - \mu) \partial_{12} u \partial_{12} y \end{aligned}$$

and a cone \mathcal{C} of nonnegative functions

$$\mathcal{C} := \{y \in H^{1,2}(Q); \dot{y} \in L_2(I; H^2(\Omega)), y \geq 0\}.$$

Contact of the shell free on the boundary

Variational formulation-inequality for u Find $\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{C} \times L_2(I; \dot{H}^2(\Omega))$ such that u fulfils

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q (E_1 A(\dot{u}, y_1 - u) + E_0 A(u, y_1 - u) - a \nabla \dot{u} \cdot \nabla (\dot{y}_1 - \dot{u}) \\ & - \dot{u}(\dot{y}_1 - \dot{u}) - ([u, v] + \Delta_k v)(y_1 - u)) \, dx \, dt \\ & + \int_{\Omega} (a \nabla \dot{u} \cdot \nabla (y_1 - u) + \dot{u}(y_1 - u))(T, \cdot) \, dx \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega} (a \nabla u_1 \cdot \nabla (y_1(0, \cdot) - u_0) + u_1(y_1(0, \cdot) - u_0)) \, dx \\ & + \int_Q f(y_1 - u) \, dx \, dt \quad \forall y_1 \in \mathcal{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Contact of the shell free on the boundary

Variational formulation-equation for v and v fulfils

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta v \Delta y_2 \, dx =$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} \left(E_1 \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{2} [u, u] + \Delta_k u \right) + E_0 \left(\frac{1}{2} [u, u] + \Delta_k u \right) \right) y_2 \, dx \quad (5)$$

$$\forall y_2 \in \dot{H}^2(\Omega).$$

The linear compact operator $L : H^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \dot{H}^2(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta L u \Delta \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (\Delta_k u) \varphi \, dx \quad \forall \varphi \in \dot{H}^2(\Omega). \quad (6)$$

Contact of the shell free on the boundary

Airy stress function operator

The bilinear operator $\Phi : H^2(\Omega)^2 \rightarrow \dot{H}^2(\Omega) :$

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta \Phi(u, v) \Delta \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} [u, v] \varphi \, dx \quad \forall \varphi \in \dot{H}^2(\Omega). \quad (7)$$

The equation (7) has a unique solution, because $[u, v] \in L_1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H^2(\Omega)^*$.

The operator Φ is compact and symmetric.

Moreover $\Phi : H^2(\Omega)^2 \rightarrow W_p^2(\Omega)$, $2 < p < \infty$ and

$$\|\Phi(u, v)\|_{W_p^2(\Omega)} \leq c \|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in H^2(\Omega), v \in W_p^1(\Omega).$$

Contact of the shell free on the boundary

A new variational formulation

We reformulate the problem (4), (5) into

Problem \mathcal{P} . Find $u \in \mathcal{C}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_Q (E_1 A(\dot{u}, y - u) + E_0 A(u, y - u)) \, dx \, dt + \\
 & \int_Q [u, E_1 \partial_t (\frac{1}{2} \Phi(u, u) + Lu) + E_0 (\frac{1}{2} \Phi(u, u) + Lu)] (y - u) \, dx \, dt \\
 & + \int_Q (\Delta_k (E_1 \partial_t (\frac{1}{2} \Phi(u, u) + Lu) + E_0 (\frac{1}{2} \Phi(u, u) + Lu))) \\
 & \quad \times (y - u) \, dx \, dt \\
 & - \int_Q (a \nabla \dot{u} \cdot \nabla (\dot{y} - \dot{u}) + \dot{u} (\dot{y} - \dot{u})) \, dx \, dt \\
 & + \int_{\Omega} (a \nabla \dot{u} \cdot \nabla (y - u) + \dot{u} (y - u)) (T, \cdot) \, dx \\
 \\
 & \geq \int_{\Omega} (a \nabla u_1 \cdot \nabla (y(0, \cdot) - u_0) + u_1 (y(0, \cdot) - u_0)) \, dx \\
 & + \int_Q f(y - u) \, dx \, dt \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{C}
 \end{aligned}$$

Method of penalization

For any $\eta > 0$ we define *The Penalized Problem*

Problem \mathcal{P}_η . We look for $u \in H^{1,2}(Q)$ such that $\dot{u} \in L_2(I, H^2(\Omega))$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q (\ddot{u}z + a\nabla\ddot{u} \cdot \nabla z + E_1 A(\dot{u}, z) + E_0 A(u, z)) \, dx \, dt + \\ & \int_Q ([u, E_1 \partial_t(\frac{1}{2}\Phi(u, u) + Lu) + E_0(\frac{1}{2}\Phi(u, u) + Lu)] + \\ & \Delta_k (E_1 \partial_t(\frac{1}{2}\Phi(u, u) + Lu) + E_0(\frac{1}{2}\Phi(u, u) + Lu))) z \, dx \, dt \quad (8) \\ & = \int_Q (f + \eta^{-1} u^-) z \, dx \, dt \quad \forall z \in L_2(I; H^2(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

$$u(0, \cdot) = u_0 \geq 0, \quad \dot{u}(0, \cdot) = u_1 \text{ on } \Omega, \quad (9)$$

with $u^- = \max\{0, -u\}$ a negative part of u .

Solving the penalized problem

The existence of a solution to the penalized problem using Galerkin method:

Lemma. *Let $f \in L_2(Q)$, $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$, and $u_1 \in H^1(\Omega)$. Then there exists a solution u_η of the problem \mathcal{P}_η fulfilling the η -independent estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\dot{u}_\eta\|_{L_2(I;H^2(\Omega))}^2 + \|\dot{u}_\eta\|_{L_\infty(I;H^1(\Omega))}^2 + \|u_\eta\|_{L_\infty(I;H^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ & + \|\partial_t \Phi(u_\eta, u_\eta)\|_{L_2(I;H^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq c, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\|\partial_t \Phi(u_\eta, u_\eta)\|_{L_2(I;W_p^2(\Omega))} \leq c_p \quad \forall p > 2.$$

Crucial estimates

The test function $z \equiv 1$ in \mathcal{P}_η implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \eta^{-1} u_\eta^- \, dx \, dt &= \int_Q (\ddot{u}_\eta - f) \, dx \, dt \\ &= \int_\Omega (\dot{u}_\eta(T, \cdot) - u_1) \, dx - \int_Q f \, dx \, dt \end{aligned}$$

and the L_1 estimates

$$\|\eta^{-1} u_\eta^-\|_{L_1(Q)} \leq c(f, u_0, u_1), \quad (11)$$

$$\|\dot{\psi}_\eta\|_{L_1(I; H^2(\Omega)^*)} \leq c(f, u_0, u_1), \quad (12)$$

where

$$\psi_\eta : w \mapsto \int_Q (a \nabla \dot{u}_\eta \nabla w + \dot{u}_\eta w) \, dx \, dt.$$

The convergence of the penalized sequence

The generalization of the Aubin's compactness lemma:

Lemma *Let $B_0 \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow B_1$ be Banach spaces, the first reflexive and separable. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$. Then $W \equiv \{v; v \in L_p(I; B_0), \dot{v} \in L_q(I, B_1)\} \hookrightarrow L_p(I; B)$.*

There exists a sequence $\eta_k \searrow 0$ such that for $u_k \equiv u_{\eta_k}$ the following convergence hold for any real $p \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_k &\rightharpoonup \dot{u} \text{ in } L_2(I; H^2(\Omega)) \\ \dot{u}_k &\rightarrow \dot{u} \text{ in } L_2(I; W_p^1(\Omega)), \\ u_k &\rightarrow u \text{ in } C(I; W_p^1(\Omega)), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Theorem. Let $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$, $u_1 \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $f \in L_2(Q)$. Then there exists a solution u of the contact Problem \mathcal{P} .

Another boundary conditions

Remark. *The presented method can be applied also to more natural boundary conditions*

$$u = \partial_n u = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{S}$$

or

$$u = \mathcal{M}(u) = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{S}$$

for clamped or simply supported shell.

We can assume also the unilateral condition

$$u(t, x) \geq \Psi(x) \text{ for a.e. } (t, x) \in Q.$$

Thank You